

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE Patent and Trademark Office

Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington. DC 20231

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED	INVENTOR	_ ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.
08/651,562	05/22/96	RUBERTS	М	9329001CDE
HARNESS DICK PO BOX 828 BLOOMFIELD H			HO, T	11/2426

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks



Advisory Action

Application No. 08/651,562

Examiner

Applicant(s)

Crour

Tuan Ho

Group Art Unit 2712

Roberts et al



TH	E PER	IOD FOR RESPONSE: [check only a) or b)]			
	a) 🗌	expires months from the mailing date of the final rejection.			
	b) 🗌	expires either three months from the mailing date of the final rejection, or on the mailing date of this Advisory Action, whichever is later. In no event, however, will the statutory period for the response expire later than six months from the date of the final rejection.			
	4040.00	ension of time must be obtained by filing a petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a), the proposed response and the appropriate fee. The which the response, the petition, and the fee have been filed is the date of the response and also the date for the purposes of hing the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. Any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.17 will be ed from the date of the originally set shortened statutory period for response or as set forth in b) above.			
X	Appell period	ant's Brief is due two months from the date of the Notice of Appeal filed on			
Ap bu	plican t is NO	t's response to the final rejection, filed on <u>Nov 8, 1999</u> has been considered with the following effect, T deemed to place the application in condition for allowance:			
X	The pr	oposed amendment(s):			
	🗶 wi	Il be entered upon filing of a Notice of Appeal and an Appeal Brief.			
	wi	Il not be entered because:			
		they raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search. (See note below).			
		they raise the issue of new matter. (See note below).			
		they are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal.			
		they present additional claims without cancelling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims.			
	NO	TE:			
	☐ Ap	oplicant's response has overcome the following rejection(s):			
	_				
	Newly separ	proposed or amended claims would be allowable if submitted in a ate, timely filed amendment cancelling the non-allowable claims.			
	The a	ffidavit, exhibit or request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition			
	for all	owance because:			
	The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered because it is not directed SOLELY to issues which were newly raised by the Examiner in the final rejection.				
X	For pu	urposes of Appeal, the status of the claims is as follows (see attached written explanation, if any):			
	-	s allowed:			
		s objected to:			
		s rejected: <u>47-65, 72-74, 80, 81, 88-95, and 105-114</u>			
	The p	roposed drawing correction filed on has has not been approved by the Examiner.			
	Note 1	the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s), PTO-1449, Paper No(s).			
	Other				
ب	201	N= 11			
		TUAN HO TUAN HO			
		PRIMARY EXAMINER			

ART UNIT 2712